



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,585	03/18/2004	Kathleen Nylund Jackson	247079-000290USPT	7261
70243	7590	07/14/2008		
NIXON PEABODY LLP 161 N CLARK ST. 48TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60601-3213			EXAMINER HU, KANG	
			ART UNIT 3714	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/14/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/804,585	Applicant(s) JACKSON, KATHLEEN NYLUND	
	Examiner KANG HU	Art Unit 3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KANG HU.

(3) Wayne L. Tang.

(2) John Hotaling.

(4) Jeremie Moll.

Date of Interview: 7/9/2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8, 10, 15-21 and 23-28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Luciano (US 6,887,154), Acres (US 6,319,125).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative submitted arguments in regards to claims 1-8, 10, 15-21, 23-28 in regards to the combination of Luciano and Acres does not disclose random selection of players and awards. The examiner respectfully disagrees and has indicated the pertinent sections of prior art citing such. The examiners will consider upon formal submission of amendment to claims and arguments. No agreement was reached on patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John M Hotaling II/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.